Employee Relations and Wellbeing

Lecture Title:

Performance Management and Employee Relations

Lecturer: Nathalie Xuereb Saydon

Date: 3rd September 2025

Masters in
Human Resources Management

Learning Objectives

- Analyze the impact of performance systems on employee relations (ER) and perceptions of fairness.
- Develop fair and transparent performance review processes.
- Apply best practices in giving feedback and managing underperformance.

Group Discussion

Why do you think that **Performance Management** and **Employee Relations** are strategically significant?

Strategic Significance of Performance Management and Employee Relations

- Directly impact organizational performance and goal alignment
- Drive employee engagement, motivation, and retention
- Influence perceptions of fairness and trust in the workplace
- Support organizational change and agility
- Reduce legal and compliance risks
- Shape employer brand and talent attraction
- Enhance overall organizational culture and employee commitment
- Improve productivity and accountability

Foundations of PM and ER

Concept	Definition / Explanation	Relevance
Performance Management (PM)	A systematic process to improve organizational performance by developing individuals' performance through goal-setting, monitoring, feedback, and development.	Ensures alignment between individual contributions and strategic objectives.
Employee Relations (ER)	The policies, practices, and systems organizations use to manage relationships between employers and employees.	Strong ER promotes engagement, reduces conflict, and maintains legal and ethical compliance.
Fairness	Employees' perception that processes (procedural), outcomes (distributive), and interpersonal treatment (interactional) are just.	Perceived fairness influences motivation, trust, commitment, and overall ER.

Theoretical Frameworks

Theory / Model	Explanation	Application in PM & ER
Equity Theory (Adams)	Employees compare their input-output ratio to others; perceived imbalance leads to dissatisfaction.	Ensures fairness in rewards, recognition, and promotions.
Procedural Justice (Leventhal)	Fairness of processes (consistency, transparency, opportunity to voice opinions) affects employee satisfaction more than outcomes alone.	Design performance appraisal processes, grievance mechanisms, and promotions.
Psychological Contract	Unwritten expectations between employee and employer; breach leads to disengagement.	Guides trust-building, career development, and performance feedback practices.
Goal-Setting Theory (Locke & Latham)	Specific, challenging goals enhance performance; feedback is critical.	Align individual objectives with organizational goals; informs performance review design.

Importance of PM & ER in Today's Workplace

- Performance Management is not just about evaluation, it's about engagement, growth, and culture.

- Employee Relations is deeply influenced by how fairly employees perceive these systems.

- Current trends:
  - Rise of data-driven performance analytics.
  - Hybrid/remote work challenges for PM.
  - Increased legal scrutiny and employee voice in fairness

Group Discussion

"Think about the most memorable performance review or feedback experience you've had (as an employee, manager, or even a peer). What made it memorable—fairness, delivery, process, or something else?

Common Pitfalls In Performance Management & How It Affects Employee Relations

Pitfall	Impact on ER
Inconsistent application of reviews	Perceived favoritism, decreased trust
Lack of transparency in criteria	Employee disengagement, low morale
Overemphasis on metrics (e.g., KPIs only)	Burnout, lack of creativity
Manager bias in ratings	Damaged relationships, turnover
Absence of regular feedback	Confusion, performance stagnation
Failure to link reviews to development	Resentment, reduced engagement

Group Study Discussions

Refer to the following case studies and discuss the following questions:

- What went right or wrong in the PM system described?
- What theories (Equity Theory, Procedural Justice, Goal-Setting Theory, etc.) explain employee reactions?
- If you were the HR Director, what 2–3 changes would you implement?

Case Study 1: - Adobe's "Check-In" Culture

Adobe abolished annual performance reviews in favor of regular, informal "check-ins."

Managers and employees now meet quarterly to review progress, set short-term goals, and discuss development needs. Feedback is two-way, and managers are trained to have coaching-style conversations rather than rating-focused evaluations.

Impact:

- Employee engagement rose significantly
- Voluntary turnover dropped by 30%
- Employees reported feeling heard, trusted, and invested in

Case Study 2: - Forced Ranking at a Tech Giant

A large tech company implemented a **forced ranking system**, requiring managers to rank employees on a bell curve. A fixed percentage were labeled as “low performers” regardless of absolute performance.

Impact:

- Toxic internal competition emerged.
- Collaboration plummeted as employees hoarded information.
- High performers left, citing unfair treatment.
- The company eventually scrapped the system due to morale issues and reputational harm.

Case Study 3: - “Annual Review Shock” in a Mid-Size Firm

A mid-sized consulting firm relied solely on **annual reviews** to evaluate performance, with little to no interim feedback. Employees received ratings with little explanation, and raises or promotions were tied directly to these ratings.

Impact:

- Employees were blindsided by critical feedback at year-end.
- Many felt demotivated, perceiving favoritism in promotions.
- High turnover followed, and client satisfaction dipped as talent left.

21
ACADEMY

Masters in
Human Resources Management

Group Discussion

Why are fairness and transparency critical to PM success?

Transparency is Key

- **Transparency builds trust:** Employees understand what's expected of them, how they'll be evaluated, and why certain decisions are made.

- **Morale and Engagement:** When employees see a clear connection between performance, recognition, and rewards, they are more likely to engage.

- **Psychological Safety:** Transparency reduces fear and creates an environment where employees feel safe to speak up and ask for support.

- **Example:** Companies that publicly share performance criteria often see higher confidence in leadership.

Fairness is Essential

Bias Reduction: Fair systems use clear, objective criteria, reducing manager bias or favoritism.

Legal & Ethical Protection: Fair documentation and processes protect organizations from legal disputes.

Employee Loyalty: Fair treatment fosters trust, retention, and a sense of belonging, reducing turnover.

Research consistently shows that procedural justice (fair process) matters more to employees than even outcomes (pay or promotions).

Should Performance Management be Punitive or Developmental?

- Modern PM isn't about "rank and yank" or fear-driven ratings.

- Today's systems emphasize growth, coaching, and regular feedback.

- Organizations that adopt continuous performance management see stronger alignment between employee goals and organizational strategy.

Best Practices for Fair & Transparent PM Systems

- Clear and aligned performance criteria
- Continuous feedback, not just annual reviews
- Employee involvement in goal setting
- Calibration sessions to ensure fairness
- 360° feedback for holistic insights
- Strong documentation and transparency
- Link PM to engagement and retention

Clear and Aligned Performance Criteria

Performance expectations should be explicit, measurable, and directly connected to organizational objectives so employees clearly understand what success looks like.

- Set SMART goals tied to organizational objectives
- Define role-specific standards and expectations
- Ensure clarity and consistency across similar roles

Why It Matters:

- Reduces bias and confusion
- Builds trust and accountability
- Shows employees how their work impacts business success

Continuous Feedback

Design a performance management approach focused on **regular, ongoing conversations** between managers and employees to drive growth, engagement, and accountability.

- Replace annual reviews with regular check-ins
- Give real-time, developmental feedback
- Encourage two-way dialogue for growth and course correction

Why It Matters:

- Boosts engagement and trust
- Helps employees feel valued and supported
- Reduces anxiety around yearly reviews

Employee Involvement in Goal Setting

Involve employees in the performance management process through **shared decision-making, feedback, and ownership** of their goals.

- Include self-assessments and collaborative goal-setting
- Foster two-way communication between employees and managers
- Build ownership, engagement, and accountability

Why It Matters:

- Enhances motivation and autonomy
- Creates fairness and transparency
- Strengthens manager-employee relationships

Calibrate Sessions to Ensure Fairness

Create a structured process where managers meet to review and adjust performance ratings to ensure consistency, fairness, and alignment across teams.

- Review ratings across teams for consistency and fairness
- Reduce bias, favoritism, and rating inflation
- Support accurate talent assessment and decisions

Why It Matters:

- Builds credibility in the performance management system.
- Supports fair promotions, pay decisions, and development planning.
- Strengthens alignment between individual, team, and organizational goals

360° feedback for holistic insights

A performance evaluation method that collects **feedback from multiple sources**—peers, managers, subordinates, and sometimes customers—for a **holistic view** of employee performance.

- Collect input from peers, managers, subordinates and possibly customers
- Combine with self-assessment for a holistic view
- Ensure feedback is constructive, unbiased, and developmental

Why It Matters:

- **Builds a complete picture of performance** beyond manager perception
- **Encourages self-awareness and leadership development**
- **Promotes a feedback culture** across teams

Strong Documentation & Transparency

Instill a practice of **accurately recording performance data, evaluations, and decisions** while ensuring employees clearly understand **processes, criteria, and outcomes.**

- Record goals, feedback, and ratings for accountability
- Clearly communicate processes, criteria, and outcomes
- Builds trust and legal/ethical protection

Why It Matters:

- Prevents misunderstandings and bias claims.
- Strengthens fairness, credibility, and engagement.
- Provides data to support talent management decisions

Link PM to Engagement & Retention

A well-designed performance management (PM) system directly supports employee motivation, trust, and loyalty, helping organizations retain top talent.

- Recognition and growth discussions boost morale and loyalty
- Fair, transparent PM builds trust and psychological safety
- Engaged employees are motivated, productive, and likely to stay

Why It Matters:

•Improves talent retention and succession planning.
•Strengthens organizational culture and collaboration.
•Aligns individual success with business growth.

21 ACADEMY

Masters in
Human Resources Management